

April 15, 2013

from  Montage Investments

Moody's Criteria Change Jeopardizes School Bond Ratings in Arkansas and Pennsylvania

On April 10, Moody's released new criteria for how it rates local school bonds that are enhanced by state intercept programs. We discussed the mechanics of these intercept programs in our recent report discussing the types of school bond enhancement programs currently in use (*Municipal Market Comments* dated March 3, 2013). The new criteria raise the possibility that Moody's could withdraw its ratings on state-enhanced local school bonds that do not have an underlying rating already or if Moody's cannot adequately assess the District's stand-alone credit quality. As a result, Moody's has identified approximately 260 local school district issuers in Arkansas and approximately 50 local school district issuers in Pennsylvania whose ratings it has placed "Under Review". Moody's stated that it "will likely withdraw [its] enhanced ratings" on these bonds if it "determines that we do not have sufficient current information to assign a public underlying rating."

If Moody's removes its enhanced ratings for local school bonds, most of them would no longer have a public credit rating. In that case, we would expect that the market for those bonds could significantly weaken, reducing both the number of investors willing to buy them and the market prices offered for them. Ascent has reviewed all of its clients' holdings in enhanced local school bonds subject to the Moody's revision, and we do not anticipate any negative impacts for our clients' holdings. In every case, the obligated district either already has a published rating or has sufficient publicly available financial and operating information for Moody's to assign an underlying rating.

Holdings of Arkansas school bonds are likely to be the most significantly impacted by this change. Historically, Arkansas school districts have issued bonds without underlying ratings, relying instead on the credit quality of the state program for market access. These issuers have also typically only used Moody's to rate their issues. Furthermore, Arkansas school districts generally have a poor track record of financial reporting after issuance. Many districts are not timely with their continuing financial disclosure and the quality of the information that is released is often poor. We view enhanced issues from smaller Arkansas local school district as being the most at risk from Moody's action.

As we have discussed previously, Ascent views credit enhancement – whether state school programs, bond insurance or some other structure – as a supplement to the underlying credit of the issuer, not as a substitute for the issuer’s own credit. For that reason, we review each bond prior to purchase, “looking through” any enhancement on the bond to determine the underlying credit quality of the obligor. We conduct regular ongoing surveillance of all of our holdings using this same process. The financial crisis and the collapse of the bond insurance industry beginning in 2009 taught many investors a harsh lesson about credit enhancement. Enhanced ratings can be subject to change from a wide variety of factors, including: financial market cycles, changes in corporate strategy, changes in government policy (national, state or local), and changing rating agency criteria. The greater the difference between the perceived credit quality of the enhancement and the underlying credit of the obligor, the greater the risk facing the investor in the event that there is some change that impacts the credit quality or market pricing of that enhancement.

Moody’s New Criteria

Moody’s new criteria applies directly to five states’ programs – Arkansas, Indiana, New York, Pennsylvania and Virginia – that use a post-default intercept mechanism. In this structure, payments from the state are not made to the trustee until the state is notified that a payment has been missed. Moody’s new approach effectively caps the state program ratings at two notches below the state’s own general obligation (G.O.) rating. So, if a state’s G.O. rating is Aa2, the post-default intercept program enhancement rating cannot be higher than A1. It can, however be lower. Rather than simply assign a rating based on the enhancement, Moody’s will also evaluate the underlying credit quality of the local district and factor that in to the ultimate rating. Rather than having all issuers equalized by the enhancement program, the new approach will differentiate ratings between stronger districts and weaker districts. Moody’s will not “uplift” an underlying issuer’s rating by more than three notches. In our example above, where the state program received an A1 rating, a local school district bond in the enhancement program with a stand-alone rating of Baa2 could only receive a program rating of A2 due to its weak underlying credit quality.



Brian Tournier
Director of Research
Ascent Investment Partners

Disclosure: This newsletter is limited to the dissemination of general information pertaining to Ascent Investment Partners, LLC's ("Ascent Investment Partners") investment advisory services and general economic market conditions. The information contained herein should not be construed as personalized investment advice, and should not be considered as a solicitation to buy or sell any security or engage in a particular investment strategy. The views expressed are for commentary purposes only and do not take into account any individual personal, financial or tax considerations. There is no guarantee that any claims made will come to pass. The opinions and forecasts are based on information and sources of information deemed to be reliable, but Ascent Investment Partners does not warrant the accuracy of the information that this opinion and forecast is based upon. Opinions expressed are subject to change without notice and are not intended as investment advice or to predict future performance. Consult your financial professional before making any investment decision. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

Ascent Investment Partners is an SEC registered investment adviser with its principal place of business in the State of Missouri. Ascent Investment Partners and its representatives are in compliance with the current registration and notice filing requirements imposed upon registered investment advisers by those states in which such registration or notice filing is required. Ascent Investment Partners may only transact business in those states in which it is noticed filed, or qualifies for an exemption or exclusion from notice filing requirements. Any subsequent, direct communication by Ascent Investment Partners with a prospective client shall be conducted by a representative that is either registered or qualifies for an exemption or exclusion from registration in the state where the prospective client resides. For information pertaining to the registration status of Ascent Investment Partners, please contact Ascent Investment Partners or refer to the Investment Adviser Public Disclosure web site (www.adviserinfo.sec.gov). For additional information about Ascent Investment Partners, including fees and services, send for our Disclosure Brochure using the contact information herein.